

University of Helsinki  
Master's Programme in Mathematics and Statistics  
MAST31201 Mathematical logic, spring 2021  
1st mid-term exam  
9.3.2021

1. Show directly from the definition of provability (i.e. without the use of any lemmas) that

$$\{\forall v_0(\varphi \rightarrow \psi), \forall v_0(\psi \rightarrow \theta)\} \vdash \forall v_0(\varphi \rightarrow \theta).$$

2. Show that

$$\{\forall v_0(Pv_0 \rightarrow Qv_0), \forall v_0\exists v_1(Pv_0 \rightarrow Rv_0v_1)\} \not\vdash \forall v_0\exists v_1(Qv_0 \rightarrow Rv_0v_1).$$

3. Consider the  $\{P, R\}$ -structure  $\mathcal{M} = (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, Sat_{\mathcal{M}})$ , where

$$Sat_{\mathcal{M}}(P) = \{0, 1\}$$

and

$$Sat_{\mathcal{M}}(R) = \{(0, 1)(1, 0), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 0), (0, 3)\}$$

Is the element 2 definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Justify.

4. (a) Show that if  $L$  is a vocabulary,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are logically equivalent  $L$ -formulas, and  $t$  is an  $L$ -term such that both  $FVF(t, v_0, \varphi)$  and  $FVF(t, v_0, \psi)$  hold, then also  $\varphi(t/v_0)$  and  $\psi(t/v_0)$  are logically equivalent.  
(b) Give an example showing that without the FVF-assumptions the claim does not hold, i.e., substitution into logically equivalent formulas does not necessary produce logically equivalent formulas.